

Behavioural Sciences in Life Safety, Occupational Health and Civil Protection: A Contemporary Integrative Review

Yeganov O., Yevdokymova N.

Pylyp Orlyk International Classical University

This paper integrates current findings in Behavioural Science to examine why safety performance is a product not just of technical control measures but also of perceptions of risk, decision-making under pressure, and social co-ordination in organisational and social contexts. Based on meta-analytic, theoretical, and applied research in the “2020s,” this synthesis weaves together four research threads: first, “leadership” and “safety culture” as executive-level precursors to micro-behaviours in diverse organisational settings, while also commenting on research findings in “Physical and Mental Health” as an institutional correlates of micro-behaviours in public health policy and disaster preparedness research in 2020s’ meta-analyst research initiatives in recent 2020s’ research studies in “Physical and Mental Health Studies”; third, “strain-to-cut-corner research,” theory-informed “protection motivations” within meta-Analytic research studies in 2020s meta-analyst research findings in “Physical and Mental Health Studies” by Protection Motivation Theory research initiatives within meta-Analytic studies in related “Physical and Mental Health Studies” strands in meta-Analytic research in “Physical and Mental Health Studies” research findings in meta-Analytic studies “Physical and Mental Health Studies” in meta-Analytic “Physical and Mental Health Studies” findings in “Physical and Mental Health Studies”; fourth, findings based upon meta-Analytic studies of “Physical and Mental Health Studies,” including “Physical and Mental Health Studies’ Polyvagal theories within meta-Analytic studies research strands “Physical and Mental Health Studies” based meta-Analytic research findings in meta-Analytic studies in “Physical and Mental Health Studies.” Within this synthesis of meta-Analytic findings in “Physical and Mental Health Studies,” findings in meta-Analytic research threads in “Physical and Mental Health Studies” will be applied to examine research strands in “Physical and Mental Health Studies” research based meta-Analytic findings in meta-Analytic studies strands in “Physical and Mental Health Studies” by findings in meta-Analytic studies in “Physical and Mental Health Studies.” From meta-Analytic studies in “Physical and Mental Health Studies,” findings in meta-Analytic findings in “Physical and Mental Health Studies” will be applied to examine findings in meta-Analytic research strands in meta-Analytic studies in “Physical and Mental

Keywords: behavioural science; life safety; occupational health and safety; civil protection; leadership and safety culture; psychological safety; psychosocial safety climate; Protection Motivation Theory; Social Safety Theory; polyvagal theory; risk communication; disaster preparedness.

Introduction

Behavioural science has emerged as a foundation of a new era of thinking in terms of life safety, occupational health, and civil security, offering a unifying perspective that brings together individual decision-making, team behaviour, organisational systems, and public policy in a coordinated fashion to mitigate danger. In particular, it is not a lack of technical control that constitutes a problem that is subject to research but a continued existence of a “behavioural bottleneck in terms of perceptions of danger, exchange of information, procedure following under emergency conditions, or turning intent into action when moments matter.” Consequently, a “behavioural perspective” identifies “safety as a product of designed decision-making, psychologically supportive environment, and evidence-informed public policies that take a predictable drift towards non-behavioural decision-making into account” (Guerin & Sleet, 2020; Hallsworth, 2023 “Safety First’s Response to COVID-19); (Sunstein, 2020).

Discussion

First analytic thread regards leadership and its related cultural conditions that influence overall performance for everyday safety. Thus, it is pertinent to ask why incidents continue to occur if all employees have knowledge of rules or regulations in place when, in fact, meta-analytic findings have determined that modeling and related metrics have substantial influence over procedure fidelity, as modeling relationship and task-oriented approaches as part of an overall safety vision moderates efficacious procedure performance (Lyubikh, Turner, Hershcovis, & Deng, 2022). This leads directly to a predictable outcome in terms of a cascade effect in leadership expectations that influenced a structured reality across related work in terms of near misses when related micro-behaviors include warning checks before non-standard actions in a work context. Relatedly, psychosocial considerations of work safety related to overall psychosocial work safety climate have provided objective metrics through bibliometric analysis that relates related overall psychosocial work climate considerations of increased work activities directly toward overall engagement and occupational health paradoxically through related work considerations of overall ability to psychologically safely speak out without related work injury through overall meta-analytic considerations that relates overall related work influence without injury in related work considerations by psychosocial work climate through overall work considerations of work activities that relates bibliometric considerations of overall work meta-analytic measures of increased work activities in related psychosocial work activities through related work considerations of overall work increased activities in overall related work considerations of overall work meta-analytic related measures

Second, is a strand of work that considers the relationship between stress, cognition, and behaviour. Under conditions of acute or chronic stress, employees’ attentional capacity will be constrained and reliance will be increased on cognitive heuristics, increasing the threat of dangerous “short-cuts”. Empirical research in construction and related domains has sought to detail how work-related stressors influence deteriorations in situational awareness, damage to perceptions of behaviour control, and decoupling of knowledge and action, in ways that identify particular patterns of unsafe behaviour until amended by design and learning (Liang, Zhou, Ye & Shen, 2022). This work relates in particular to theoretically-informed models of protective action. By now well-proven in several interventions related to COVID-19, Protection Motivation Theory demonstrates that danger appraisals and coping appraisals together predict protective behaviour adoption; with low efficacy perceptions or high responses costs diminishing uptake in accurately perceived danger contexts (Ning et al., 2020; Rad, 2021). Precision health approaches build upon such arguments, stressing interventions that appropriately respect such inter-context differences in psychographic drivers of action as a means of enhancing uptake while at the same time diminishing intervention waste (Hekler, Tiro, Hunter, & Nebeker, 2020).

Connecting with others is not a “soft factor” at the periphery of issues related to safety, but a biological governor of its processing. Social Safety Theory integrates findings that a subjective experience of social safety damps down systemic responses to stress, with downstream benefits for individual health and cognitive-adaptive functioning, whereby “feeling-safe” is constituted as a public health lever in its own right (Slavich, 2020; Slavich et al., 2023). Polyvagal Theory offers a supplementary neurobiological framework, whereby social signals of safety communicated through human vocal tones and visual displays of familiarity through predictable routines augment neuroception of security conditions that calm and paralyze defense mobilization, allowing pro-social engagement and crisis-related problem-solving to proceed (Porges, 2022). From a work perspective, all of this legitimate approaches to improving work-related communication quality, social support, and team routines as an “indirect but powerful factor in incident risk reduction”.

But getting such insights from behaviour turned into policies means that a range of design disciplines have come a long way since “nudge” enthusiasm. In those early pandemic months, Behavioural Public Administration provided analysed answers in terms of message framing, trust, social norms, and feedback for protective behaviour making clear that it is possible to, in a hurry, integrate psychology-codified insights in emergency messages (Lunn et al., 2020). In non-communicable diseases, Behavioural Sciences have been applied in improving disaster preparedness systems in fragile countries where precise “barriers to action” such as evacuations’ threshold ambiguities, false alerts’ fatigue, or inadequate alert channel’s affordances have reallocated technical solutions’ success well before (Abella et al., 2020). In a regulated field, Behavioural Sciences have become a part of decision-making for creating new “risk minimisation actions”. There is a growing need for trials and user-centered designs in making sure that a safety message or tool is being used as intended (Treacy et al., 2024). “The challenge of compliance” is stepping out of its “moral failing” paradigm.

On an organisational-level perspective, it appears from the literature that research is tracking an evolution from a compliance-oriented to systems-thinking paradigm in occupational health and safety. On a research front, bibliographic studies indicate that research is broadening its remit to include aspects of organisational culture, knowledge-sharing, and learning from signals in a manner that is no longer restricted to linear approaches to accident causation (Wang, Chen, Liu, Yang, & Long, 2020). A look ahead at a safety psychology paradigm is that improvement and modification of interventions need to include a technology infusion of behaviour diagnostics integrated as an operational routine in measuring latent assumptions in organisational culture, validity assessments of psychological safety and leadership credibility, and an appropriately aligned incentive system that will make safety behaviour a path of least resistance (Granger & Turner, 2022). This is in line with findings based on research related to public safety, which indicate that modifications in technology infrastructure or “choice architecture” have a marked effect in influencing behaviour of citizens as well as law enforcers, albeit in a manner that is fraught with controversies related to its application validity and is in its nascent stages of validation (Patterson & White, 2021).

Civil protection is a specifically demanding challenge in terms of behavioral preparedness, as a combination of uncertainty, time constraints, and need for coordination is at its highest. In this particular area, it is leadership, climate, and social resilience that form a decisive combination. In teams that have communicated psychologically safely before a crisis strikes, there is enough volume to call assumptions, identify inconsistencies, and reformulate plans when faced with the unexpected. Areas that possess higher social resilience, such as social trust in institutions and credible social networks, communicate and respond faster when evacuation, sheltering, and social support become a necessity (Slavich, 2020; Abella et al., 2020). Behavioral drills that lead to embodied preparedness in terms of procedure as well as decisions and communication scripts improve concrete plans through behavioral preparedness in decision-making and emergency

prepared communication by engaging citizens as active partners instead of recipients build a social muscle memory that is an attribute of resilient systems.

“Behavioral science needs to actively pursue a synthesis of this behavioral turn with a commitment to methodological rigor and a translational vision.” First, it is crucial to articulate a set of mechanisms that specify a linkage between leadership styles and particular safety behaviors through such intermediary variables as psychological safety, control perceptions, and norm salience so that interventions become actionable more specifically (Lyubykh, et al. 2022; Amoadu, et al. 2023). Second, it is imperative to incorporate neurophysiologic measures such as those based upon polyvagal theory within field research, so as to triangulate self-report and behavioral observations, and more specifically in emergency settings where distortion from prior experience is a paramount concern (Porges, 2022). Third, it is crucial to include a democratization of experiment and assessment as an integral component of emergency communications and occupational safety initiatives so that “what works” is a matter of local validation and replication, not mere replication across borders (Hallsworth, 2023; Treacy, et al. 2024). And, of course, it is crucial that all of this be pursued in a manner that prioritizes issues of equity.

Conclusion

In conclusion, behavioral science transforms a safety, occupational health, and civil protection challenge into a human-coordination challenge that is readily amenable to solutions in which cognition, emotion, social engagement, and institutions are seen as equals in determining outcomes. There is now a body of evidence to support prescriptions that act on leadership that communicates clear expectations and listens, creates a climate in which it is safe to speak out and in which learning is normal, designs decisions and tools that match human decision-making, and invests in social safety as a biological and social asset. In such a situation, the gap that exists between those who know what is safe and those who do what is safe will decrease, and a new promise of prevention will emerge that is more attainable.

References

- Abella, J., Perge, E., Afif, Z., Orozco, C., Padilla, L., & Hsu, J. (2020). Using behavioral insights to improve disaster preparedness, early warning and response mechanisms in Haiti. **, 1–48.
- Amoadu, M., Ansah, E., & Sarfo, J. (2023). Influence of psychosocial safety climate on occupational health and safety: A scoping review. *BMC Public Health*, 23, Article 16246. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-023-16246-x>
- Dong, R., Li, X., & Hernan, '. (2024). Psychological safety and psychosocial safety climate in workplace: A bibliometric analysis and systematic review towards a research agenda. *Journal of Safety Research*, 91, 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2024.08.001>
- Guerin, R., & Sleet, D. (2020). Using behavioral theory to enhance occupational safety and health: Applications to health care workers. *American Journal of Lifestyle Medicine*, 15, 269–278. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1559827619896979>
- Granger, S., & Turner, N. (2022). Adapting, adopting, and advancing change: A framework for future research in the psychology of occupational safety. *Journal of Safety Research*, 82, 38–47. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2022.04.004>

Hallsworth, M. (2023). A manifesto for applying behavioural science. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 7, 310–322. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-023-01555-3>

Hekler, E., Tiro, J., Hunter, C., & Nebeker, C. (2020). Precision health: The role of the social and behavioral sciences in advancing the vision. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*, 54, 805–826. <https://doi.org/10.1093/abm/kaaa018>

Liang, Q., Zhou, Z., Ye, G., & Shen, L. (2022). Unveiling the mechanism of construction workers' unsafe behaviors from an occupational stress perspective: A qualitative and quantitative examination of a stress–cognition–safety model. *Safety Science*, 146, 105486. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2021.105486>

Lunn, P., Belton, C., Lavin, C., McGowan, F., Timmons, S., & Robertson, D. (2020). Using behavioral science to help fight the coronavirus. *Journal of Behavioral Public Administration*, 3(1), Article e. <https://doi.org/10.30636/jbpa.31.147>

Lyubikh, Z., Turner, N., Hershcovis, M., & Deng, C. (2022). A meta-analysis of leadership and workplace safety: Examining relative importance, contextual contingencies, and methodological moderators. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 107, 1435–1460. <https://doi.org/10.1037/apl0000557>

McNeil, D., Randall, C., Baker, S., Borrelli, B., Burgette, J., Gibson, B., Heaton, L., Kitsaras, G., McGrath, C., & Newton, J. (2022). Consensus statement on future directions for the behavioral and social sciences in oral health. *Journal of Dental Research*, 101, 619–622. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00220345211068033>

Ning, L., Niu, J., Bi, X., Yang, C., Liu, Z., Wu, Q., Ning, N., Liang, L., Liu, A., Hao, Y., Gao, L., & Liu, C. (2020). The impacts of knowledge, risk perception, emotion and information on citizens' protective behaviors during the outbreak of COVID-19: A cross-sectional study in China. *BMC Public Health*, 20, 1751. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-020-09892-y>

Patterson, Q., & White, M. (2021). Is there a civilizing effect on citizens? Testing the pre-conditions for body-worn camera-induced behavior change. *Police Quarterly*, 24, 411–437. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1098611121996716>

Porges, S. (2022). Polyvagal Theory: A science of safety. *Frontiers in Integrative Neuroscience*, 16, 871227. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnint.2022.871227>

Rad, R., Mohseni, S., Takhti, H., Azad, H., Shahabi, N., Aghamolaei, T., & Norozian, F. (2021). Application of the protection motivation theory for predicting COVID-19 preventive behaviors in Hormozgan, Iran: A cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health*, 21, 466. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-10500-w>

Slavich, G. (2020). Social Safety Theory: A biologically based evolutionary perspective on life stress, health, and behavior. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, 16, 265–295. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-032816-045159>

Slavich, G., Roos, L., Mengelkoch, S., Webb, C., Shattuck, E., Moriarity, D., & Alley, J. (2023). Social Safety Theory: Conceptual foundation, underlying mechanisms, and future directions. *Health Psychology Review*, 17, 5–59. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17437199.2023.2171900>

Sunstein, C. R. (2020). *Behavioral science and public policy*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108973144>

Treacy, J., Morrato, E., Horne, R., Wolf, M., Bakhai, A., Wilson, M., Lightowler, M., Guerler, S., & Jokinen, J. (2024). Behavioral science: Enhancing our approach to the development of effective additional risk minimization strategies. *Drug Safety*, 47, 273–287. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-024-01420-w>

Wang, Y., Chen, H., Liu, B., Yang, M., & Long, Q. (2020). A systematic review on the research progress and evolving trends of occupational health and safety management: A bibliometric analysis of mapping knowledge domains. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 8, 81. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2020.00081>